United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
√. Parar	nieet	Singh	Case Number: 1:08 Cr 119	
	In a		B142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe offense state or local offense that would have jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence an offense for which a maximum term of imp	is life imprisonment or death. risonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparal The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed imprisonment for the offense described in finding Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttab	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state since the defendant from	
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defen		
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions endant as required and the safety of the community.	
\boxtimes	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	ate Findings (B) appear. langer the safety of another person or the community.	
	l fin		ment of Reasons for Detention nitted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that	
driv res Ap fina cor cor	ver. A side. I ril 29, anced ntainir erced	Ithough his ties to the U.S. are significant, he also be Defendant travelled to India for 3 weeks in 2007. He 2008, with 64 kilograms of cocaine, apparently desonable, as the cocaine has a wholesale value exceeding the drugs. One of the truck drivers reported to of	t is a legal resident alien living in Ohio and employed as a truck has significant ties to India, where his mother, three siblings, and a child he has no ties to this district. Defendant and 5 other men were arrested on stined for Canada. This cocaine operation appears well organized and well \$1 million. Defendant was in the "chase car," which was following the trucks fficers after arrest that the men in the car were "drug dealers" and had in the nature of the offense, the high incentive to flee, defendant's ties to India, if nonappearance	
appeal he Un	tions f l. The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Atto acility separate, to the extent practicable, from per defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu	ons Regarding Detention orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a resons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
May 0	8, 200	08	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
Date			Signature of Judge	
			Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge	